Supporting information for the paper:

**Au_{22}Ir_3(PET)_{18}: An Unusual Alloy Cluster through Intercluster Reaction**

Shridevi Bhat,¹a Ananya Baksi,¹a Sathish Kumar Mudedla,ᵇ Gana Natarajan,a V. Subramanian,ᵇ and Thalappil Pradeep*ᵃ

ᵃDST Unit of Nanoscience (DST UNS) and Thematic Unit of Excellence, Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India.
ᵇChemical Laboratory, CSIR-Central Leather Research Institute, Adyar, Chennai- 600020

† Equal contribution

**Table of Contents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SI 1</td>
<td>Materials and methods</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI 2</td>
<td>Instrumentation</td>
<td>S4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure S1</td>
<td>Characterization of Au_{25}(PET)_{18}</td>
<td>S6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure S2</td>
<td>Characterization of Ir₉(PET)₆</td>
<td>S7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure S3</td>
<td>Effect of reactant concentration on the reaction</td>
<td>S8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure S4</td>
<td>Structure of Au_{25}(SMe)₁₈</td>
<td>S9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure S5</td>
<td>DFT optimized structures of Au₂₄Ir(SMe)₁₈ and Au₂₃Ir₂(SMe)₁₈</td>
<td>S10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure S6</td>
<td>DFT optimized structures of lower energy isomers of Au₂₂Ir₃(SMe)₁₈</td>
<td>S11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure S7</td>
<td>DFT optimized structures of higher energy isomers of Au₂₂Ir₃(SMe)₁₈</td>
<td>S12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table S1</td>
<td>Isomers of Au₂₄Ir₁(SMe)₁₈ and their energies</td>
<td>S13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table S2</td>
<td>Isomers of Au₂₃Ir₂(SMe)₁₈ and their energies</td>
<td>S13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table S3</td>
<td>Lower energy isomers of Au₂₂Ir₃(SMe)₁₈ and their energies</td>
<td>S13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table S4</td>
<td>Higher energy isomers of Au₂₂Ir₃(SMe)₁₈ and their energies</td>
<td>S14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure S8</td>
<td>Result of TDDFT calculations</td>
<td>S15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supporting information 1

Materials and Methods

Materials: Chloroaucic acid trihydrate (HAuCl₄·3H₂O), iridium(III) chloride hydrate (IrCl₃·xH₂O), 2-phenylethanethiol (PET), tetraoctylammonium bromide (TOAB), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄), Cesium acetate (CsOAc) and 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Toluene, tetrahydrofuran (THF), dichloromethane (DCM) and methanol were purchased from Rankem and were of analytical grade.

Synthesis of clusters

Synthesis of Au₂₅(PET)₁₈: Au₂₅(PET)₁₈ was synthesized by the modified Brust – Schiffrin single phase synthesis protocol.¹ In this procedure, approximately 40 mg of HAuCl₄·3H₂O was taken in 7.5 mL of THF and ~65 mg of tetraoctylammonium bromide (TOAB) was added and stirred for about 15 min to get a deep red solution. Then 5 mole equivalents (with respect to gold) of PET were added and the solution was stirred for about an hour to get a colorless solution indicating the formation of Au-SR thiolate mixtures. These thiolates were reduced by the addition of ~39 mg of NaBH₄ in 2.5 mL of ice cold water and the reaction was stirred for another 6 h to get a reddish brown colored solution. The entire synthesis was carried out at room temperature and stirring speed was kept at ~1500 rpm. THF was then evaporated by vacuum drying and the cluster was precipitated to remove excess thiol and other impurities which were discarded with the supernatant solution. This step was repeated 2-3 times followed by extraction of the Au₂₅(PET)₁₈ cluster in acetone leaving behind bigger particles. Finally the pure cluster was extracted in DCM.

Synthesis of Ir₉(PET)₆: This cluster was synthesized following a recently reported solid state protocol.² Briefly, IrCl₃·xH₂O and PET in 1:4 molar ratios were mixed together by grinding in a mortar and pestle to form Ir thiolates which are yellow in color. Then these thiolates were reduced by the addition of ~30 mg of NaBH₄ and grinding the mixture till the color changes from yellow to blackish indicating the formation of the desired cluster. The cluster was then extracted in toluene which was evaporated using vacuum drying and the cluster was precipitated by the addition of excess methanol to remove thiol and other impurities. This procedure was repeated for 2-3 times to get pure cluster.
Separation by Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC): Around 1 µL of cluster solution was spotted on TLC plate and dried in air. The mobile phase used for the elution was 60:40 (v/v) DCM:hexane. The plate was eluted and separated bands were cut from the TLC plate. Then these bands were extracted in DCM and centrifuged to remove residues of the TLC plate.
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Instrumentation:

UV/Vis spectroscopy: UV/Vis spectra were collected using Perkin Elmer Lambda 25 instrument with a range of 200 – 1100 nm with a band pass filter of 1 nm.

MALDI MS: MALDI MS of Ir₉(PET)₆ cluster was measured using a Voyager-DE PRO Biospectrometry Workstation from Applied Biosystems. DHB matrix was used in the ratio of 1:100 of sample:matrix. Appropriate volumes of sample were spotted on the plate and allowed to dry under ambient condition. A 337 nm nitrogen laser was used for desorption and ionization. Mass spectra were collected in linear positive ion mode and were averaged for 250 shots. Accelerating voltage was kept at 20 kV. The measurements were done at threshold laser intensity.

ESI MS: The ESI MS were measured in Waters’ Synapt G2Si HDMS instrument. The Synapt instrument consists of an electrospray source, quadrupole ion guide/trap, ion mobility cell, and TOF detector. Different gases are used in different parts of the instrument. Nitrogen gas is used as the nebulizer gas. All the experiments were done in positive ion mode using CsOAC as an ionization enhancer. Cluster was mixed with 50 mM CsOAC at 1:1(v/v) ratio before infusing to the ESI MS system. The optimized conditions for a well resolved MS were as follows:

Sample concentration: 10 µg/mL
Solvent: DCM
Flow rate: 30 µL/min
Capillary voltage: 3.5 kV
Cone voltage: 120-150 V
Source offset: 80-120 V
Desolvation gas flow: 400 L/h
Trap gas flow: 5 mL/min

Computational Details: The structure of Au₂₅(SR)₁₈ used was from the report of Heaven et al.³ (Figure S4). Different possible structures have been obtained with the systematic substitution of Ir atoms with Au. The PET ligands were replaced with methyl groups to
reduce the computational cost. Such simplification has been often used in previous calculations to reduce the computational burden and is acceptable.\textsuperscript{4} The geometries with Ir substitution were optimized using density functional theory (DFT) method. Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) functional was used to locate the stationary points on the potential energy surface. For Au and Ir atoms, LANL2DZ basis set was used and 6-31G* was used for the rest of the atoms (S, C and H). All the calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 software.\textsuperscript{5}

To investigate the absorption spectrum of Au\textsubscript{22}Ir\textsubscript{3}(SCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{18}, time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) calculations have been performed on the lowest energy geometry of Au\textsubscript{22}Ir\textsubscript{3}(SMe)\textsubscript{18} using PBE1PBE level of theory for 400 excitations. Gold and Iridium were treated with LANL2DZ basis set and carbon, sulphur and hydrogen with 6-31G*. The calculated spectrum was broadened using Lorentzian method.
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Characterization of Au$_{25}$(PET)$_{18}^-$:

Figure S1: A) Optical absorption spectrum of [Au$_{25}$(PET)$_{18}$]$^-$ in DCM. B) Negative ion mode ESI MS of [Au$_{25}$(PET)$_{18}$]$^-$ C) Experimental (black trace) and simulated (red trace) mass spectrum of the [Au$_{25}$(PET)$_{18}$]$^-$ species.
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Characterization of Ir₉(PET)₆:

**Figure S2:** A) Optical absorption spectrum of Ir₉(PET)₆. B) Positive ion mode MALDI MS of Ir₉(PET)₆. C) Experimental (black trace) and simulated (red trace) mass spectrum for [Ir₉(PET)₆]⁺ species.
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Effect of reactant concentration on the reaction:

Figure S3: (A) Optical absorption spectrum and (B) positive ion mode ESI MS of reaction mixture containing 1.0:0.5 (v/v) of Au$_{25}$(PET)$_{18}$:Ir$_9$(PET)$_6$, after 24 h of stirring. (C) Optical absorption spectrum and (D) positive ion mode ESI MS of reaction mixture containing 1.0:1.0 (v/v) of Au$_{25}$(PET)$_{18}$:Ir$_9$(PET)$_6$, after 24 h of stirring. (E) Optical absorption spectrum and (F) positive ion mode ESI MS of reaction mixture containing 1.0:1.5 (v/v) of Au$_{25}$(PET)$_{18}$:Ir$_9$(PET)$_6$, after 24 h of stirring.
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Structure of \( \text{Au}_{25}(\text{SMe})_{18} \):

**Figure S4:** Structure of \( \text{Au}_{25}(\text{SMe})_{18} \) with its aspicule representation. Color Code: Dark yellow-Au, bright yellow-S, gray-C and white-H.
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DFT optimized structures of Au$_{24}$Ir(SMe)$_{18}$ and Au$_{23}$Ir$_{2}$(SMe)$_{18}$:

**Figure S5.** DFT optimized structures of A), B), C) isomers of Au$_{24}$Ir(SMe)$_{18}$ and E), F), G) selected isomers of Au$_{23}$Ir$_{2}$(SMe)$_{18}$. The aspicule representation of each structure is given below the structures. The positions of Ir are labelled prominently. In case of isomers of one Ir substituted structures, the relative energies are calculated with respect to structure A and in case of two Ir substituted structures; relative energies are calculated with respect to structure D. Color code: Golden yellow – Au; bright yellow – S; blue – Ir; gray – C and white – H.
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DFT optimized structures of lower energy isomers of Au$_{22}$Ir$_3$(SMe)$_{18}$:

![Diagram of DFT optimized structures]

**Figure S6.** DFT optimized structures of most stable geometries (with formation Ir$_3$ units) and their isomers of Au$_{22}$Ir$_3$(SMe)$_{18}$. Aspicule representations of the structures are given below the respective structure. The relative energies are calculated with respect to isomer A. The most stable structure is highlighted with an asterisk. Color code: Dark yellow – Au; bright yellow – S; blue – Ir; grey – C and white – H.
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DFT optimized structures of higher energy isomers of Au$_{22}$Ir$_3$(SMe)$_{18}$:

**Figure S7.** DFT optimized structures of few random higher energy isomers of Au$_{22}$Ir$_3$(SMe)$_{18}$. The aspicule representation of each structure is given below respective structure. The relative energies are calculated with respect to structure A in Figure S6. Color code: Dark yellow – Au, bright yellow – S, blue – Ir, gray – C and white – H.
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Results of DFT calculations:

**Table S1:** Isomers of Au$_2$Ir(SMe)$_{18}$ and their energies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Position of Ir atom</th>
<th>Absolute energy (hartree)</th>
<th>Relative energy (kcal mol$^{-1}$)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Center of the icosahedron</td>
<td>-11238.79377</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shell of the icosahedron</td>
<td>-11238.76734</td>
<td>16.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Staple</td>
<td>-11238.75841</td>
<td>22.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2:** Isomers of Au$_{23}$Ir$_2$(SMe)$_{18}$ and their energies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Position of Ir atoms</th>
<th>Absolute energy (hartree)</th>
<th>Relative energy (kcal mol$^{-1}$)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>One in center and one in shell of the icosahedron</td>
<td>-11208.05604</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>One in center and one in shell of the icosahedron</td>
<td>-11208.05724</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>One in center of the icosahedron and one in staple</td>
<td>-11208.04293</td>
<td>8.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S3:** Isomers of Au$_{22}$Ir$_3$(SMe)$_{18}$ with Ir$_3$ units and their energies. Their structures are shown in Figure 4 and S6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Position of Ir atoms</th>
<th>Absolute energy (hartree)</th>
<th>Relative energy (kcal mol$^{-1}$)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>One in center and two in shell of the icosahedron forming Ir$_3$ triangular unit</td>
<td>-11177.32815</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>One in center and two in shell of the icosahedron forming angular Ir$_3$ unit</td>
<td>-11177.30956</td>
<td>11.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table S4: Isomers of Au$_{22}$Ir$_3$SMe$_{18}$ without Ir$_3$ unit and their energies. Their structures are shown in Figure S7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Relative Energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>One in shell of icosahedron and two in same staple forming triangular Ir$_3$ unit</td>
<td>-11177.30588</td>
<td>13.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>One in center and two in shell of icosahedron forming a linear Ir$_3$ unit</td>
<td>-11177.30482</td>
<td>14.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Two in shell of icosahedron and one in staple forming an Ir$_3$ unit</td>
<td>-11177.28398</td>
<td>27.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Two in same staple and one in the shell of the icosahedron forming anIr$_3$ unit</td>
<td>-11177.28132</td>
<td>29.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>One in center of the icosahedron and two in same staple</td>
<td>-11177.29225</td>
<td>22.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>One in center, one in shell of the icosahedron and one in staple</td>
<td>-11177.28896</td>
<td>24.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>One in center of the icosahedron and two in different staples, no bonding between Ir atoms</td>
<td>-11177.28113</td>
<td>29.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>One in the shell of the icosahedron and two in different staples with one Ir – Ir bond</td>
<td>-11177.28048</td>
<td>29.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Three Ir in the shell of the icosahedron with one Ir – Ir bond</td>
<td>-11177.27757</td>
<td>31.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Two in shell of icosahedron and one in staple with one Ir – Ir bond</td>
<td>-11177.27085</td>
<td>35.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Two in same staple and one in different with one Ir – Ir bond</td>
<td>-11177.25315</td>
<td>47.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Three in different staples</td>
<td>-11177.22447</td>
<td>65.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Relative energies are calculated with respect to 1st isomer in each case.*
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Result of TDDFT calculations:

![Graph showing UV/Vis absorption spectra](image)

**Figure S8.** TDDFT calculated UV/Vis absorption spectrum for most stable isomer of Au$_{22}$Ir$_3$(PET)$_{18}$ cluster (black dotted trace) compared with the experimental UV/Vis spectrum (red trace). The TDDFT calculated spectrum shows a shift of ~ 60 nm which is corrected and plotted as blue trace. This shift is due to the simplifications such as replacement of PET ligands by SMe ligands and consideration of effective core potentials for Au and Ir atoms in TDDFT calculation.
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