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**Abstract:** The present study is targeted toward understanding the interaction between important and technologically relevant polymorphs of iron oxides/oxyhydroxides with arsenic species at neutral pH. The existence of various arsenic (As) species in solution was verified by Raman measurements. Their species-dependent adsorption on the affordable arsenic removal media, confined metastable 2-line ferrihydrite (CM2LF) was investigated. The results were compared with common adsorption media, hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) and magnetite (Fe₃O₄). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was used to investigate the changes in the core levels of Fe 2p and As 3d resulting from the uptake of arsenic species. Binding of various As species with CM2LF was confirmed by FTIR studies. Raman adsorption data were found to fit a pseudo-second-order model. Results of this study show the synthesized nanocomposite of CM2LF to be very effective for the removal of As(III) and As(V) species in comparison to various materials at neutral pH. A model for the adsorption of As(III) and As(V) species in water on a ferrihydrite particle was developed. This accounted for the large uptake capacity.
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**Introduction:** Arsenic is one of the major contaminants in drinking water in many parts of the world. Various parts of India, Bangladesh, Cambodia, South Africa, Argentina, United States, and other countries have arsenic in the groundwater to an extent more than the permissible limit, namely 10 μg L⁻¹ (10 ppb) prescribed by the World Health Organization (WHO). Arsenic and its associated problems are still a great threat to human health, although arsenic in water was first reported by Benjamin Martin way back in 1759. Arsenic occurs in natural waters as either arsenite (As(III)) or arsenate (As(V)). Both forms of arsenic have strong affinity for iron oxide/oxyhydroxide surfaces near neutral pH. The speciation of arsenic is an important aspect for understanding its mobility, bioavailability, and toxicity in groundwater. A comprehensive solution for arsenic contamination was not evident as efforts made previously have faced various challenges. Arsenic in the environment has been addressed in various ways in terms of technology, and all of them have certain limitations.

In this context, there is a need to explore the use of nanomaterials for arsenic mitigation due to their large surface area and enhanced surface reactivity. In the past decade, various nanostructured composites have been used to remove arsenic from water, namely, graphene oxide−MnFe₂O₄ nanohybrids, Fe−Cu binary oxides, nanocrystalline TiO₂, superparamagnetic Mg₀.27Fe₂.5O₄, GO−ZrO(OH)₂ nanocomposites, GNP/Fe−Mg oxide, etc. Recently, researchers have looked at several bioadsorbents like Eichhornia crassipes, possessing multiple functional groups (−OH, −NH₂, and −COOH) on the surface, were used for the potential removal of arsenic species from wastewater.

However, to know the exact binding mechanism of different arsenic species on the surfaces, various spectroscopic studies are required such as Raman spectroscopy, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). These studies too have limitations due to the difficulty in understanding adsorbed species in water and the mode of complexation on the surfaces. To understand the complexation of arsenic onto oxides, some efforts have been made by Gustafsson et al. using computational methods. Authors have used the Diffuse Layer Model (DLM) and Three-Plane CD-MUSIC Model (TPCD) to account for the data. It has been seen that at different pH conditions, both As(III) and As(V) exist as different species. These species bind on different adsorbents.
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AdSORption of As(III) and As(V) on amorphous aluminum and iron oxides using vibrational spectroscopy was investigated by Goldberg et al.26 According to the report, Al–O–As stretching frequency appeared between 844 to 865 cm⁻¹ for pH 5–9, while Fe–O–As frequency was observed between 817 to 824 cm⁻¹ for pH 5–10.5. Arsenic forms inner sphere complexes on both amorphous Al and Fe oxides, while As(III) forms inner and outer sphere complexes on amorphous Fe oxide and only outer sphere complexes on amorphous Al oxide. Arsenic speciation in the aqueous phase was studied in detail using Raman spectroscopy by Müller et al.21 They studied the binding mechanism of As(III) and As(V) on 2-line ferrihydrite, goethite, hematite, and ferrixyhyte using Raman and diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier-transform (DRIFT) spectroscopy. In solution phase, As(III) and As(V) showed various Raman features. However, the As(III/V) adsorbed samples of ferrihydrite and ferrixyhite showed Raman feature of Fe–O–As as a broad band, centered around 840 cm⁻¹ at pH 5, 5.5, and 9. In the case of As(V), this band was more intense and better resolved than As(III). To know the oxidation state of As, extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy was performed which confirmed that no change occurred in the oxidation state of As(III) and As(V) after adsorption. EXAFS and X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy studies were widely used to know the mode of complexation of arsenic species on iron oxide/oxyhydroxide.

Wang et al.22 investigated the surface speciation of As(III) and As(V) on green rust by EXAFS and XANES spectroscopy. They found polymeric species of As(III) on the surface of green rust. Bhandari et al.23 studied the effect of light on ferrihydrite with As(III) at pH 5 using XANES and attenuated total reflection-FTIR (ATR-FTIR) spectroscopy. They observed the oxidation of As(III) which was bound to the surface of ferrihydrite. During the adsorption process, the release of Fe(II) ions into solution from ferrihydrite was noticed. Nguema et al.28 performed As(III) sorption with 2-line ferrihydrite, hematite, goethite and lepidocrocite using EXAFS and XANES spectroscopy. They found bidentate binuclear corner sharing (2C) complexes in all cases but bidentate mononuclear edge sharing (2E) complexes additionally for ferrihydrite and hematite. In the environment, the known polymorphs of iron oxides/hydroxides/oxyhydroxides are about sixteen.29 Hematite (α-Fe₂O₃), magnetite (Fe₃O₄), goethite (α-FeOOH), and ferrihydrite (Fe₃₋₄O₇₋₄H₂O) are the commonly available polymorphs and are used for various industrial and scientific applications. Hematite (HEM), magnetite (MAG), and ferrihydrite (PH) based materials were used extensively in water purification for the removal of heavy metal ions (Pb and As).30–32 Higher surface area of iron oxides favors larger adsorption capacity for arsenate.33 Iron oxide nanoparticles are also effective for the removal of other metals such as V, Cr, Co, Mn, Se, Mo, Cd, Sb, Tl, Th, and U.34 Use of nanoadsorbents for the removal of heavy metal ions from water is particularly attractive as they can be altered suitably to achieve greater surface area and stronger binding capacity. Magnetite nanoparticles have certain advantages due to their superparamagnetic properties, ease of preparation, and biocompatibility. However, agglomeration and the loss of magnetic strength over time resulting from auto-oxidation limits the commercial application of bare iron oxide nano-

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Stock solutions of 100 mM As(III) and As(V) were prepared using NaAsO₂ and Na₂HAsO₄·7H₂O, respectively. A combination of As(III) and As(V) is referred to as As(mix). A stock solution of 100 mM As(mix) solution was prepared by mixing equimolar amounts of NaAsO₂ and Na₂HAsO₄·7H₂O. The pH of the stock solutions was adjusted to 7 by adding diluted HCl and diluted NaOH, whenever necessary.

Further, 200 mg of the material (CM2LF/MAG/HEM) was added to 150 mL of arsenic stock solution (As(III)/As(V)/As(mix)) in a 250 mL polystyrene conical flask. The flask was kept in an orbital shaker for 24 h, until an adsorption equilibrium was reached. The solution was centrifuged and the residue (i.e., the arsenic adsorbed material) was washed several times with deionized water to remove unreacted arsenic species from the surface of the material. Subsequently, the cleaned residue was dried at room temperature and a pellet was made using an IR pelletizer. The pellet was kept in a vacuum desiccator for 48 h for complete drying and also to protect it from the surrounding environment, before performing XPS and IR measurements.

To understand the adsorption kinetics of arsenic, Raman measurements were performed. For this, 3–7 g of the material (CM2LF/MAG/HEM) was added to 40–50 mL of 150 mL of arsenic (As(III)/As(V)/As(mix)) solutions in a 250 mL polystyrene conical flask. The solution was kept in an orbital shaker, and 1 mL of the solution was collected at various time intervals at 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 60, 90, and 120 min, respectively. The solutions were subjected to centrifugation, immediately after collecting them. The material if any, separated as residue, was discarded and Raman measurements were performed using the supernatant.

In this work, each material, viz., CM2LF/MAG/HEM has been investigated thoroughly for interaction with As(III), As(V), and As(mix), respectively.

**Materials.** Sodium arsenite (NaAsO₂) and disodium hydrogen arsenate (Na₂HAsO₄·7H₂O) were purchased from SD Fine Chemicals Limited. Hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) was purchased from Merck Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., India. Magnetite (Fe₃O₄) was purchased from Alfa Aesar (A Johnson Matthey Company, USA). Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was purchased from Rankem Glasswares and Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., India. Hydrochloric acid (HCl) was purchased from Merck Life Science Pvt. Ltd., India. All chemicals were of analytical grade and were used without further purification. Deionized (DI) water was used throughout the experiments.

**Instrumentation.** HRTEM images of the sample were obtained with JEM 3010 (JEOL, Japan) operating at 200 kV (to reduce beam induced damage) with an ultrahigh-resolution polepiece. Samples for
HRTEM studies were prepared by droppng the dispersion on amorphous carbon films supported on a copper grid and subsequent drying. XPS measurements were done using an ESCA Probe TPD spectrometer of Omicron Nanotechnology. Polychromatic Al Kα was used as the X-ray source (hν = 1486.6 eV). Samples were mounted as pellets on a carbon tape, supported on the sample stub. Constant analyzer energy of 20 eV was used for the measurements. Binding energy was calibrated with respect to C 1s at 284.8 eV. All the XPS spectra were deconvoluted using CasaXPS software. Raman spectroscopy was performed using CRM Alpha 300 S microRaman spectrometer of WiTec GmbH (Germany). A glass slide with a cavity (which can hold 100 μL of the sample solution) was mounted on the piezoelectric scan stage of the setup. The spectra were collected at 633 nm laser excitation where a long band-pass filter, placed in the path of signal effectively cuts off the Rayleigh scattering. The signal was then dispersed using a 600 grooves per mm grating, and the dispersed light was collected by a Peltier-cooled charge coupled device. The other parameters of the instrument were kept as and the dispersed light was collected by a Peltier-cooled charge coupled device. The background subtraction of spectrum was done using a second-order polynomial. All the Raman spectra were deconvoluted using OriginPro 9.0 software. A PerkinElmer FTIR spectrometer was used to measure the infrared spectra. The spectrometer resolution was kept at 4 cm⁻¹.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1A shows a TEM image of CM2LF. It appears largely amorphous and continues to remain the same at ambient conditions even after extensive interaction with As(III) and As(V) spiked water. Upon continuous electron beam irradiation, lattice planes are seen under HRTEM due to beam induced crystallization (Figure 1B). Figure S9 shows the XRD data of CM2LF. It shows the 2-line ferrihydrite phase with characteristic features at 35.5° and 62.3° corresponding to the (110) and (115) planes. The observed lattice planes are in agreement with the Cambridge Crystallographic Database (CCD) (JCPDS, 46-1315).37–39

To understand the degree of protonation, polymerization, and speciation of As(III) and As(V) oxides44 at room temperature, Raman spectra were measured at pH 7 (Figure 2Aa,Ab). As(III) in solution has two vibrational features at 703 and 656 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2Aa) which correspond to the A₁ and E modes of H₂AsO₃⁻ (C₃ᵥ symmetry when all OH groups are equivalent). The peak at 703 cm⁻¹ corresponds to symmetric stretching (A₁) while the other peak at 656 cm⁻¹ represents the asymmetric stretching (E) of As–OH.21 respectively. As(V) in solution shows four vibrational features (after deconvolution) at 873, 837, 745, and 698 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2Ab). To understand the kind of speciation of As(III) and As(V) in the aqueous phase, we have performed the studies by varying pH, according to the pk₅ value of their corresponding acids, and the data are shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S1. As(V) in solution exists as H₂AsO₄²⁻ and HAsO₄²⁻ species in the pH window of 5–9, as reported.37,20,21 Species H₂AsO₄²⁻ and HAsO₄²⁻ belong to C₁ᵥ (when the OH groups are equivalent) and C₁₈ (when the OH group is considered as a moiety) point groups, respectively. C₁ᵥ has four vibrational modes, viz., A₁, A₂, B₁, and B₂ while C₁₈ has two vibrational modes, viz., A₁ and E which are Raman active. The vibrational modes of the species H₂AsO₄²⁻ and HAsO₄²⁻ were discussed by Müller et al.21 As(V) solution was made at pH 5 and pH 9, separately. At pH 9, it shows two features at 830 and 699 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2Ba) whereas at pH 5, they were observed at 877 and 744 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2Bb). The higher frequency peaks of the spectra (at 830 and 777 cm⁻¹) correspond to the symmetric stretching of As=O, while the lower frequency peaks (699 and 744 cm⁻¹) represent the symmetric stretching of As–OH, respectively. With this explanation, we can assign the Figure 3.

![Figure 1](image1.png)  (A) HRTEM image of the 2-line ferrihydrite composite (CM2LF). (B) Lattice resolved image of part A.

![Figure 2](image2.png)  Raman spectra of aqueous arsenite and arsenate species. (A) Data at pH 7 for (a) As(III), (b) As(V), and (c) As(mix). Expanded view of As(V) showing different species at other pH values. (B) Most of the As(V) is in the form of (a) HAsO₄²⁻ at pH 9 and as (b) H₂AsO₄⁻ at pH 5. Data have been fitted with their components.
2Ab vibrational frequencies 837, 698 and 873, 745 cm\(^{-1}\) to H\(_2\)AsO\(_4\)\(^{1-}\) and H\(_2\)AsO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\), respectively. In the case of As(mix) in solution, at pH 7, the observed six vibrational features (after deconvolution) are almost close to the vibrational frequencies of the individual As(III) and As(V) species (H\(_3\)AsO\(_3\), H\(_3\)AsO\(_4\)\(^{-}\), and H\(_2\)AsO\(_4\)\(^{1-}\)) as discussed earlier. We did not see any additional features which also confirm that there are no adducts between As(III) and As(V) in solution as a result of mixing. Further details about As\(\cdot\)O or As\(\cdot\)OH stretching frequencies of arsenic oxides are discussed in Goldberg et al.\(^{20}\)

The adsorption kinetics of various arsenic species in solution at pH 7 was studied for different materials, CM2LF, MAG, and HEM. The intensities of As(III), As(V), and As(mix) species decreased upon interaction with CM2LF with time, as shown in Figure 3. Similar decay in intensities of the arsenic species is seen in the case of MAG and HEM as shown in the Supporting Information, Figures S2 and S3, respectively. The data suggest that upon interaction with CM2LF, MAG, and HEM, the arsenic species in solution remained the same. Area under the peaks correspond to concentration in solution, and the data suggest that equilibrium is reached in 20 min as shown in Figure S4. The kinetic graphs in Figure S4A,B follow a pseudo-second-order expression. The similar data for MAG and HEM are shown in Figures S5 and S6, respectively. We observed that the concentration of As(III) and As(V) in solution reduced faster for CM2LF but not for MAG and HEM. Appropriate analyses of the data are presented in the Supporting Information.

Raman measurements of various concentrations of As(III) and As(V) are shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S7A,B, respectively. The correlation between the area under the peak and the concentration of As(III) and As(V) solutions are shown in Figure S7C,D, respectively. To understand the adsorption kinetics and uptake capacity, we plotted \(t/\text{qt}\) versus \(t\) (Figure 4); the rate constants \((k)\) and adsorption capacity \((q_e)\) of all the materials were calculated using the slopes and intercepts of the plots. The results are tabulated in Table 1. Similar adsorption models for the uptake of arsenic have been reported earlier.\(^{9,30,41}\)

The obtained rate constants for CM2LF and HEM are equal for As(III) adsorption but less for MAG (Table 1). We assume that this may due to the difference in availability of surface O\(^{-}\)/OH groups on all three materials. While in the case of As(V), MAG shows faster kinetics in comparison to CM2LF and HEM, even though it shows less uptake of As(V). As we know that As(V) in solution exists as H\(_2\)AsO\(_4\)\(^{1-}\) and H\(_3\)AsO\(_4\)\(^{-}\).
at pH 7, we were interested to know which species will have faster kinetics toward iron oxides/oxyhydroxides. Data of Table 1 reveal that $\text{H}_2\text{AsO}_4^{1-}$ shows higher rate constant than $\text{HAsO}_4^{2-}$ for CM2LF, MAG, and HEM at pH 7 irrespective of their different surface structures. Further studies were conducted using As(mix) and As(V), which showed higher rate constant than As(III). Thus, As(V) interaction with all the materials is faster in comparison to As(III) due to the difference in the species in the solution phase. As(V) shows faster adsorption kinetics as compared to As(III). It is due to As(V) ($\text{H}_2\text{AsO}_4^{1-}/\text{HAsO}_4^{2-}$) possessing more polarizable hydration sphere than As(III) ($\text{HAsO}_3^{-}$). Nucleophilicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>adsorbate</th>
<th>adsorbent (g)</th>
<th>species pH 7</th>
<th>$q_e$ (mg/g) ± 5%</th>
<th>$k$ (min(mg/g)) ± 5%</th>
<th>initial rate $h = kq_e^2$ ± 10%</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 mM As(III)</td>
<td>CM2LF : 3 g</td>
<td>$\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3$</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAG : 3 g</td>
<td>$\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3$</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>$0.4 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEM : 3 g</td>
<td>$\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3$</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 mM As(V)</td>
<td>CM2LF : 7 g</td>
<td>$\text{HAsO}_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAG : 7 g</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{AsO}_4^{1-}$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>$60.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEM : 7 g</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{AsO}_4^{1-}$</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>$20.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 mM As(mix)</td>
<td>CM2LF : 5 g</td>
<td>As(mix)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAG : 5 g</td>
<td>$\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3$</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEM : 5 g</td>
<td>$\text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3$</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>$8.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. A model of As complexes with $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{H}_8$ cluster. Path a, As(III) complexes and path b, As(V) complexes. OSC, outer sphere complexes (x, y, and z). ISC, inner sphere complexes (1 to 10). Transformation of OSC to ISC by ligand exchange is shown.
As(V) are shown in Figure S10. In molecular basis of the adsorption process for As(III) and As(V) plays an important role in the adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on this model cluster at pH 7 are shown in Figure 5. We may recall that the Fe2O10 cluster is the common unit (two octahedra present in edge sharing fashion) of 2-line ferrihydrite, MAG and HEM. The oxide upon exposure to water will have hydroxylated surfaces making this model realistic. There are two kinds of adsorption geometries (physical and chemical) possible in the case of As species. Physical adsorption leads to outer sphere (physically bonded species) complexes while chemical adsorption result in inner sphere (chemically bonded) complexes. Most of the outer sphere complexes can transform to inner sphere complexes through ligand exchange mechanism as indicated by the pathways ("path a" and "path b"). In Figure 5, x, y and z represent the outer sphere complexes formed through hydrogen bonding between As species and iron oxides/oxyhydroxides as reported.26,42 The inner sphere complexes are (1) monodentate mononuclear (1V), (2) bidentate binuclear corner sharing (1C), and (3) bidentate mononuclear/binuclear edge sharing (1E). The ratio of outer to inner sphere complexes depend on the pH of the solution.23,26 Complex 1 from Figure 5 ("path a") and complexes 7, 8, and 9 from Figure 5 ("path b") represent the 1C complexes. The complexes 7, 8, and 9 are structurally similar and differ in the degree of protonation of As species. As(III) and As(V) have more tendency to form 1C complexes (thermodynamically favorable) than other possible structures26,43,44 for all the adsorbents used in this work. Bidentate mononuclear edge sharing (1E) complexes were found in the case of As(III) with ferrihydrite and HEM.28 Complex 1 from Figure 5 ("path a") and complexes 5, 6, and 10 from Figure 5 ("path b") show monodentate mononuclear (1V) complexes. These are found to increase in intensity noticeably at pH 7–10.5,22 in the case of As(III) and at pH 5–10.24 in the case of As(V) with iron oxides/oxyhydroxides. The XPS analysis of arsenic standards in the solid form (As(III), and As(V)) was performed for the 3d5/2 and 3d3/2 features. 

Figure 6. XPS spectrum of (A) As 3d and (B) Fe 2p. As 3d region of (A) (a) NaAsO2 (red trace) and Na2HAsO4·7H2O (blue trace). Comparison of the positions may be noted. (b) As(III), (c) As(V), and (d) As(mix) adsorbed on CM2LF. Spectra are fitted for the 3d5/2 and 3d3/2 features. (B) (a) CM2LF before adsorption, (b-d) Fe 2p after adsorption, as before. (C) FTIR spectra (a) CM2LF before adsorption. Spectra of (b) As(III) and (c) As(V) adsorbed materials. (d) Spectra after subtraction (curve b-a). (e) Spectra after subtraction (curve c-a).
NaAsO₂ for As(III) and Na₂H₃AsO₄ for As(V). The As 3d peak appears at 44.2 and 44.8 eV for As(III) and As(V), respectively.45 The As 3d peak was deconvoluted into 3d₅/₂ and 3d₃/₂, while Fe 2p was fitted with three pairs of peaks for CM2LF and HEM as reported.46,47 The lower binding energy peak corresponds to Fe–O–Fe– groups, the next higher one refers to surface >Fe–OH groups (Fe(III)₀迩) followed by a satellite peak (Fe(III)ₛ迩).46 XPS data of each peak before and after arsenic (As(III), As(V), and As(mix)) interaction with all three materials used in this work are shown in Table S1. The standard As 3d peak was redshifted from 44.2 to 45.7 eV upon As(III) interaction with CM2LF (Figure 6Ab) and HEM (Supporting Information, Figure S8Ab), respectively. The observed results suggest that most of the H₃AsO₃ species on the surface of CM2LF and HEM are chemically bonded or may have got converted to As(V). In the case of As(V) adsorption on CM2LF and HEM, the As 3d peak redshifts from a value of 44.8 to 45.3 and 46.5 eV for CM2LF (Figure 6Ac) and HEM (Supporting Information, Figure S8Ac), respectively. The redshift of As 3d peak was higher in the case of HEM and lower for CM2LF (broaden to higher binding energy side). Lower redshift can refer to physically adsorbed (hydrogen bonded) H₃AsO₃ (As–OH stretching) on CM2LF. The results were supported by the work of Sverjensky et al.23,25 and Fukushima et al.28 These authors studied arsenic speciation on ferrihydride surface using IR and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopies and applied the extended triple layer model (ETLM). The C⁻ complexes dominate than the ³V and P complexes for As(III) and As(V). In the present study, the ratio of physical to chemical adsorption is more for As(V) than for As(III) due to difference in the extent of deprotonation of arsenic species and increase of −O⁻ groups on the surface of the adsorbent. The results suggest that the effect of pH is more on As(V) than As(III).

In the case of MAG, the Fe 2p₃/₂ was deconvoluted into four peaks which correspond to Fe(II), Fe(III) and two separate shakeup peaks for Fe(II) (Fe(II)ₛ迩) and Fe(III) (Fe(III)ₛ迩), respectively.46,48 Similarly, the deconvolution was done for Fe 2p₁/₂. Upon As(III) interaction with MAG, no considerable change was observed in As 3d. The peak shifted from 44.2 to 44.3 eV (Figure 7Ab) while in the case of As(V), it redshifted from 44.8 to 45.5 eV (Figure 7Ac). Thus, we can conclude that most of the H₃AsO₃ species are physically adsorbed and H₃AsO₄⁻⁻ species are chemically adsorbed on MAG. In both the cases, there was no considerable change in peak positions, intensity ratio, and fwhm of Fe(II) and Fe(III). These suggest that there was no conversion of Fe(II) to Fe(III) and vice versa. The characteristic features of MAG in FTIR before arsenic exposure (Figure 7Ca) shows the Fe–O stretching frequencies at 448, 491, and 590 cm⁻¹, respectively. Peaks at 1639 and 3430 cm⁻¹ are due to bending and stretching vibrations of adsorbed water on MAG. Peak at 2348 cm⁻¹ is due to free CO₂ in the spectrometer.

A peak at 820 cm⁻¹ was found upon interaction of As(III) and As(V) with CM2LF and MAG. This feature due to Fe–O–As stretching vibrations of the bidentate binuclear (²C) complex occurs in the range of pH 7 to 9, which confirms that the arsenic species (H₃AsO₃ and H₃AsO₄⁻⁻) are forming the inner sphere complexes on the surface of these materials.
As(V) adsorption which represents the As−O stretching vibrations\(^4^9\) of H\(_x\)AsO\(_4\)\(^y\)−. Figures 6Ad, 7Ad, and Figure S8Ad show that As(III) is more interactive than As(V) at pH 7, in the case of As(mix). The results were supported by Raman data (Table 1). The correlation of adsorption models (Figure 5) and spectroscopic data (XPS and IR) based on the present work is summarized in Table 2.

The XPS data (Table 2) suggest that there is no considerable change in Fe 2p upon interaction with arsenic (As(III)/As(V)), which implies that the Fe atoms involved in the complexion did not undergo any chemical change for all the materials reported in this work. We assume that formation of the complexes follows the ligand exchange mechanism. The stability of the complexation of arsenic species with ferric hydroxides was reported by Farrell et al.\(^4^2\) The stability of the complexes is in the order: bidentate binuclear (>(FeO)\(_2\)AsOH ≈ 2C) > monodentate mononuclear (>(FeO)−As(OH)\(_2\) ≈ 1V) > physical adsorption (P).

In the following, we discuss an understanding of the adsorption of arsenic species on CM2LF. In Figure 8, we used the unit cell parameters of 2-line ferrihydrite as reported in Michel et al.\(^3^9,5^0\) to construct a rectangular slab having top and bottom surfaces parallel to the (110) plane of FeOOH (Figure 8A). The top surface contains oxygen atoms (in yellow). In general, the ferrihydrite structure has three symmetry-distinct Fe atoms. Here, we represented them as octahedra Fe1 center (dark yellow), octahedra Fe2 center (indigo), and tetrahedra Fe3 center (blue) as reported by Pinney et al.\(^5^0\). The CM2LF −O−/−OH groups were shown in yellow/red, while arsenic attached −O− and −OH groups are marked in sky blue and blue, respectively. XPS and FTIR results suggest that arsenic species bind the surface Fe atoms through a ligand exchange mechanism, at pH 7. The results are supported by the literature.\(^2^3,2^1,2^4,2^5,2^0,2^6\) In the ligand exchange mechanism, As−O−/−OH groups replace the Fe−O−/−OH groups. The formation of possible stable complexes of As(III) and As(V) with 2-line ferrihydrite are shown in Figure 8B,C, respectively. As(III) forms 2C (major) and 1V (minor) complexes while As(V) forms 2C, 1V (minor) and P (major) complexes.

We generated a 2-line ferrihydrite nanosphere of 2.6 nm in diameter (Figure 9A) and constructed a cube (Figure 9B) such that the surface area of the cube equals the surface area of the nanosphere (6\(^a^2 = 4\pi r^2\)). The diameter chosen was to simulate the experiment. Possible sites for adsorption were counted as the number of singly coordinated oxy/hydroxy (Fe−O−/−OH) groups from Fe1 (octahedral, blue color) and Fe2 (octahedral, yellow color) centers available on the surface of the nanosphere and cube. Only one site was counted if more than one site was present on the same center due to possible steric hindrance of the complexed species. Ideally, 86 sites were counted for the nanosphere and 54 sites were possible for the cube. We applied two constraints to get maximum arsenic uptake capacity of 2-line ferrihydrite. (1) All the particles (nanosphere or cube) were assumed to be well separated to make all the sites available for adsorption and (2) all the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>adsorbate pH 7</th>
<th>adsorbent</th>
<th>As 3d shift (eV)</th>
<th>Fe 2p(_{3/2}) shift (eV)</th>
<th>IR features (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>suggested complexes(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As(III) (H(_2)AsO(_3))</td>
<td>CM2LF</td>
<td>+ 0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>complex 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAG</td>
<td>+ 0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>550, 658</td>
<td>complex x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEM</td>
<td>+ 0.5</td>
<td>− 0.2</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>complex 2/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As(V) (HAsO(_4)(_2)−/H(_2)AsO(_4)(_1)−)</td>
<td>CM2LF</td>
<td>+ 0.5</td>
<td>+ 0.1</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>complex 7/8/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAG</td>
<td>+ 0.7</td>
<td>+ 0.3</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>complex 7/8/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEM</td>
<td>+ 1.5</td>
<td>− 0.2</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>complex 7/8/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Complexes refer to those in Figure 5, assigned on the basis of FTIR features and As 3d peak shift values.

Figure 8. Schematic of a 110 plane of ferrihydrite at pH 7 (A) before adsorption, (B) after As(III) adsorption, and (C) after As(V) adsorption.

Table 2. Correlation of Adsorption Models and Spectroscopic Data

---

Materials. CM2LF and MAG show a special feature at 878 cm\(^{-1}\) after As(V) adsorption which represents the As−O stretching vibrations\(^4^9\) of H\(_x\)AsO\(_4\)\(^y\)−. Figures 6Ad, 7Ad, and Figure S8Ad show that As(III) is more interactive than As(V) at pH 7, in the case of As(mix). The results were supported by Raman data (Table 1). The correlation of adsorption models (Figure 5) and spectroscopic data (XPS and IR) based on the present work is summarized in Table 2.

The XPS data (Table 2) suggest that there is no considerable change in Fe 2p upon interaction with arsenic (As(III)/As(V)), which implies that the Fe atoms involved in the complexion did not undergo any chemical change for all the materials reported in this work. We assume that formation of the complexes follows the ligand exchange mechanism. The stability of the complexation of arsenic species with ferric hydroxides was reported by Farrell et al.\(^4^2\) The stability of the complexes is in the order: bidentate binuclear (>(FeO)\(_2\)AsOH ≈ 2C) > monodentate mononuclear (>(FeO)−As(OH)\(_2\) ≈ 1V) > physical adsorption (P).

In the following, we discuss an understanding of the adsorption of arsenic species on CM2LF. In Figure 8, we used the unit cell parameters of 2-line ferrihydrite as reported in Michel et al.\(^3^9,5^0\) to construct a rectangular slab having top and bottom surfaces parallel to the (110) plane of FeOOH (Figure 8A). The top surface contains oxygen atoms (in yellow). In general, the ferrihydrite structure has three symmetry-distinct Fe atoms. Here, we represented them as octahedra Fe1 center (dark yellow), octahedra Fe2 center (indigo), and tetrahedra Fe3 center (blue) as reported by Pinney et al.\(^5^0\). The CM2LF −O−/−OH groups were shown in yellow/red, while arsenic attached −O− and −OH groups are marked in sky blue and blue, respectively. XPS and FTIR results suggest that arsenic species bind the surface Fe atoms through a ligand exchange mechanism, at pH 7. The results are supported by the literature.\(^2^3,2^1,2^4,2^5,2^0,2^6\) In the ligand exchange mechanism, As−O−/−OH groups replace the Fe−O−/−OH groups. The formation of possible stable complexes of As(III) and As(V) with 2-line ferrihydrite are shown in Figure 8B,C, respectively. As(III) forms 2C (major) and 1V (minor) complexes while As(V) forms 2C, 1V (minor) and P (major) complexes.

We generated a 2-line ferrihydrite nanosphere of 2.6 nm in diameter (Figure 9A) and constructed a cube (Figure 9B) such that the surface area of the cube equals the surface area of the nanosphere (6\(^a^2 = 4\pi r^2\)). The diameter chosen was to simulate the experiment. Possible sites for adsorption were counted as the number of singly coordinated oxy/hydroxy (Fe−O−/−OH) groups from Fe1 (octahedral, blue color) and Fe2 (octahedral, yellow color) centers available on the surface of the nanosphere and cube. Only one site was counted if more than one site was present on the same center due to possible steric hindrance of the complexed species. Ideally, 86 sites were counted for the nanosphere and 54 sites were possible for the cube. We applied two constraints to get maximum arsenic uptake capacity of 2-line ferrihydrite. (1) All the particles (nanosphere or cube) were assumed to be well separated to make all the sites available for adsorption and (2) all the
Species-dependent arsenic adsorption on iron oxides/oxy-hydroxides was investigated by Raman spectroscopy. Time dependent Raman measurements allowed us to understand an effective material for better arsenic uptake for various species, H₂AsO₄⁻ and H₂AsO₄²⁻, at neutral pH. The studies suggested that their complexation with iron oxides/oxyhydroxides was driven by the ligand exchange mechanism which could lead to the formation of Fe–O–As bonds at neutral pH. Complementary data were obtained from vibrational spectroscopy. CM2LF showed the highest arsenic adsorption capacity than the commonly available polymorphs of iron oxides (MAG and HEM) at neutral pH. CM2LF showed effective removal of As(III) and As(V) in natural waters which supported its use in point-of-use water purification applications. Using the adsorption kinetics, understanding of the species present on the surfaces and speciation of arsenite and arsenate ions known previously, a model of an arsenic adsorbed nanoparticle of FeOOH was arrived at. This model explains observed results accurately.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Species-dependent arsenic adsorption on iron oxides/oxy-hydroxides was investigated by Raman spectroscopy. Time dependent Raman measurements allowed us to understand an effective material for better arsenic uptake for various species, H₂AsO₄⁻ and H₂AsO₄²⁻, at neutral pH. The studies suggested that their complexation with iron oxides/oxyhydroxides was driven by the ligand exchange mechanism which could lead to the formation of Fe–O–As bonds at neutral pH. Complementary data were obtained from vibrational spectroscopy. CM2LF showed the highest arsenic adsorption capacity than the commonly available polymorphs of iron oxides (MAG and HEM) at neutral pH. CM2LF showed effective removal of As(III) and As(V) in natural waters which supported its use in point-of-use water purification applications. Using the adsorption kinetics, understanding of the species present on the surfaces and speciation of arsenite and arsenate ions known previously, a model of an arsenic adsorbed nanoparticle of FeOOH was arrived at. This model explains observed results accurately.
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